

Interpretation of Table:
JAIL POPULATIONS: 3rd Quarter '04 versus 3rd Quarter '05

This table:

- summarizes the ADP results for the 62 jurisdictions in California reporting data from Type II, III, and IV jails;
- summarizes jurisdiction ADP results for the most recent quarter (Column C);
- compares jurisdiction ADP for the most recent quarter with the same quarter last year (Column B);
- ranks the jurisdictions in terms of gains or losses in ADP from high to low (Column D);
- lists the percentage growth or decline in ADP for each jurisdiction (Column E);
- lists the percentage of the overall State increase or decrease in ADP that is represented by each jurisdiction (Column F);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative percentage increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the highest percentage of the decrease (Column G);
- lists, by jurisdiction, the cumulative total increase and decrease in the State ADP starting with the jurisdiction with the highest increase and proceeding to the jurisdiction with the biggest decrease (Column H); and,
- lists the jurisdictions that experienced decreases in their ADP as shaded.

Some important conclusions from this table are:

1. The two numbers at the bottom indicate the "total increase" in ADP (in this case 4,115) and "total decrease" in ADP (-773). In other words, the jurisdictions experiencing increases had a total increase of 4,115 ADP; and the jurisdictions experiencing decreases had a total decrease of 773 ADP. Subtracting 773 from 4,115 produces the overall increase of 3,342 between the third quarter of 2004 and the third quarter of 2005.
2. The Los Angeles County ADP increase of 1,505 is 36.6% of the total increase of 4,115. Forty jurisdictions had increases (down to Del Norte Sheriff's Department) with 4 jurisdictions reporting no increases (Santa Clara Probation Department, Colusa Sheriff's Department, Madera Corrections Department, and Santa Cruz Sheriff's Department). When you get to Santa Cruz Sheriff's Department, you have accounted for 100% of the increases (100% of the cumulative total of 4,115).
3. Jurisdictions that experienced a decrease in ADP are listed from smallest decrease to largest decrease (Sierra Sheriff's Department to Alameda Sheriff's Department). When you get to the bottom of the table, you have accounted for 100% of the total decreases of 773 inmates.
4. The cumulative percentage of ADP increase for the top four jurisdictions (Los Angeles Sheriff's Department to San Bernardino Sheriff's Departments) is 68.2%. In other words, four jurisdictions accounted for over 68% of the total ADP increase. Three jurisdictions (Oakland Police Department, San Diego Sheriff's Department and Alameda Sheriff's Department) account for about 58.8% of the decreases. Please note that Oakland Police Department will no longer be reporting on the Jail Profile Survey due to the closure of its jail, which accounts for approximately 16% of the total decrease.